



We The People
Program 271 October 16, 2017
We the People 271 Legal Child Abduction

Good Day to you. A few weeks ago I offered an opinion about the homosexual marriage proposal now being tested in Australia. I voted **NO** and have done so proudly for my children and future generations. Have no doubt this a profound moment where if the law is changed to allow same gender parties to marry then the consequences are predictably awful and we can learn this from Canada today. The comparison with Canada is relevant as it shares a history, culture and laws similar to Australia. The law was changed to allow homosexual marriage and the result has been catastrophic in my view.

Take the case of an ordinary father in Ontario, Steve Turloukis, who unsuccessfully objected to the changes in his children's school curriculum which required the child to be taught that homosexuality should be celebrated and was normal. Mr Turloukis, a father of two children, initially requested religious accommodation from the school board for his children in 2010, after the **Equity and Inclusivity Education Strategy** was implemented by then-minister of education Kathleen Wynne, a lesbian, and her deputy minister, Ben Levin. Levin was convicted in March 2015 of three child pornography related charges. These changes were underpinned by same sex marriage as a milestone giving license to this new curriculum which simply takes away the notion of a mother and a father and the right of the parents to raise their children as they see fit. The result of allowing homosexual marriage in Canada has been something akin to legal child abduction because in my view their education system has been high-jacked. In Australia Dr David van Gend president of the Australian Marriage Forum, summed it up when he said that marriage equality is just part of an obscure Gnostic ideology and a package deal of control through law to entrench the agenda of a few at the expense of the many. He says that the LGBT movement is actually very intolerant and we have witnessed that here as they seemingly declare anyone who wants to **vote no** as anti-Australian. Dr van Gend goes on to say that **"like all revolutions the basic aim is to control children's education and to silence dissidents"**. This is precisely what's happening in Canada and so called marriage equality has little to do with anything except control through the law. The abhorrent safe schools program in Australia is a mere taste of things to come if the law is changed and men can marry men and women marry women and all the transgender variants in between.

I watched a young homosexual man on YouTube argue **against** marriage equality and all the reasons why. I do not know his name but I have included a link to his broadcast because he is articulate with wisdom beyond his years. Just go to the Sentinel website for the link as it's well worth watching. There are many notable Australians and ordinary people who wonder why we are even voting on this because of the massive amount of other pressing matters in Australia. I think it reflects the major political parties' eagerness to seize the quick headline as a distraction then letting it pass into history one way or the other. However the experience elsewhere in the world is that if this change to marriage succeeds then things will never be the same in this wonderful country. The **safe schools program** already exposes children to sexually explicit content and to queer and gender theory. It teaches that sexually transmitted disease is no big deal and promotes risky sexual behaviours and multiple sex partners as 'normal' and even inspirational. It seeks to remove parents' rights and is far more than simply an anti-bullying program. A regular Australian Dad Steve, was shocked to find that his daughter was shown a homosexual video in a maths class without any warning or parental permission and yet when his daughter reported she was bullied because she is a Christian the teacher brushed aside her concerns and she was told... **"well everyone is entitled to their opinion"**. The stories continue and it's very clear to me that these issues will only escalate if marriage withers. This vote to change the definition of marriage has far reaching negative effects as is apparent in Canada. It is not in this nation's interest to allow a tiny minority to use the marriage act to attempt to change our society and throttle freedom of speech. Before you vote, check my assertions for yourself and vote **no** to preserve moral sanity and don't be fooled that it's about fairness because it's not and the price we pay will be the moral abduction of our children through a high-jacked education system.

Until next time this is Kent Bayley

Young wise homosexual man <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=13uTAowUyN8> and Dr Dr David van Gend <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=szkG-G3gD3k>